



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,430	04/30/2001	Robert Raymond	10015949-1	3411
7590 10/25/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			ROBINSON, GRETA LEE	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2168	·

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/845,430	RAYMOND, ROBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Greta L. Robinson	2168				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	uaust 2005					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
· _	· _					
	Claim(s) 1-17,21 and 22 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
<u> </u>	6) Claim(s) <u>1-17, 21, 22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
AMarkov a MA		,				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper Nots/Mail Date   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)     Paper Nots/Mail Date 06/28/05.   Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-17, 21 and 22 are pending in the present application.
- 2. Independent claims 1 and 17 have been amended.

## Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on June 28, 2005 has been considered by the examiner, note attached copy of form PTO 1449.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 17, the logical connection between the limitation in the preamble and the body of the claim is vague. Note, the preamble of the claim recites "managing data miner modules" [see: claim 17 lines 1-2]; but the limitation of *managing data miner modules* is vague within the body of the claim [note: claim 17 lines 3-8]. The body of the claim appears to be geared toward "accessing" rather than "managing" as recited in the preamble [see claim 17 lines 9-10].

Application/Control Number: 09/845,430 Page 3

Art Unit: 2168

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coleman et al. US Patent 5,889,990.

Coleman et al. teaches a module management system, for obtaining management information from a computing environment [note: Figure 4 integrated communications module 30; col. 5 line 63], the system comprising:

a library of data miner modules each configured to access at least one entity in a computing environment for management information, wherein the at least one entities comprises one or more of the group consisting of hardware entities and software entities [note: the disclosure defines data miner modules as software modules, Figure 5 depicts software modules elements 50-53; column 6 lines 11-12];

a library of module registration files each defining an implementation of a data miner module [note: Figure 7, dynamic registration 108, column 11 lines 46-51]; and a module manager that provides access to each data miner modules stored in said library of data miner modules for which said library of registration files includes a corresponding registration file defining an implementation of said data miner module [note: column 11 lines 52-58].

Application/Control Number: 09/845,430 Page 4

Art Unit: 2168

8. Regarding claim 17, providing a database of portal data miner modules ... providing a specification for module registration file... providing access ... [note: abstract; Figures 1, 2, 5-7; column 2 lines 12-66; column 5 lines 30-47; column 11 lines 45-62; column 6 lines 11-12].

### Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 2-16, 21 and 22 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17, 21 and 22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In the response Applicant argued Coleman fails to teach "a library of data miner modules each configured to access hardware and/or software entities in a computing environment for managing information".

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a library of data miner modules each configured to access hardware and/or software entities in a computing environment for managing information) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Note this was an original limitation; but the claim has been amended. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations

Page 5

from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Coleman et al. provides for the newly added limitation "wherein the at least one entities comprises one or more of the group consisting of hardware entities and software entities note "an information-optimized hardware platform ... which manages information ... and the application software includes logic" abstract, Figures 1, 2 and 6; column 2 lines 12-66.

Applicant argues Coleman et al. does not teach a library of module registration files each defining an implementation of a data miner module. Note registration files are disclosed see Figure 7 dynamic registration element 108.

#### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/845,430 Page 6

Art Unit: 2168

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greta L. Robinson whose telephone number is (571)272-4118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin can be reached on (571)272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Greta Robinson Primary Examiner October 21, 2005